Looking into anti-angiogenic gene therapies for disorders of the eye

Henrik S. Rasmussen, Camilla S. Rasmussen, Rebecca G. Durham, C. Richter King and Lisa Wei

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (DR) are the most common causes of visual impairment in the developed world. Because the key factor in AMD and DR is aberrant neovascularization in the retina (DR) or in the choroid (AMD), strategies to inhibit abnormal neovascularization represent a compelling therapeutic approach. Here we review various anti-angiogenic strategies for the treatment of ocular neovascular diseases with special emphasis on gene transfer as a way of achieving high, sustained concentrations of anti-angiogenic proteins in the back of the eye without concomitant systemic toxicity.

*Henrik S.Rasmussen
Camilla S. Rasmussen
Rebecca G. Durham
C. Richter King
and Lisa Wei
GenVec
65 West Watkins Mill Road
Gaithersburg
MD 20878, USA
*tel: +1 240 632 5522
fax: +1 240 632 0735
e-mail:
hrasmussen@genvec.com

▼ Anti-angiogenic gene therapy is a potential breakthrough therapy for ocular neovascular diseases, including age-related macular degeneration (AMD), proliferative diabetic retinopathy (pDR), ocular histoplasmosis and myopic retinopathy. The former two (AMD) and pDR) are the most common causes of blindness in the developed world¹⁻². There are ~1.7 million people in the USA alone, who have impaired vision because of AMD, a number that is expected to triple by 2030 as the population ages¹. Every year, >165,000 people develop the neovascular (also known as 'wet') form of AMD and ~16,000 individuals go blind because of it². DR also represents a considerable problem, with ~50% of patients who have had insulin-dependent diabetes for >20 years developing pDR (Ref. 3). Without treatment, patients with pDR have at least a 50% chance of becoming blind within five years4; however, appropriate treatment strategies can reduce this risk to ~5%5. Nonetheless, there is still significant room for treatment improvement, considering that ~25,000 patients with DR go blind every year in the USA1.

The major factor in AMD, as well as in pDR, is aberrant neovascularization in the retina

(pDR) or in the choroid (AMD). In the neovascular form of AMD, abnormal new blood vessels invade the macula, which is the region that provides sharp resolution and central vision⁶. Leakage from these vessels, and associated manifestations such as detachment and tears of the retinal pigment epithelium, fibrovascular disciform scarring and vitreous hemorrhage result in severe visual loss (20/200 or worse in either eye) in the majority of patients with the neovascular form^{7–8}.

In pDR, the diabetic microangiopathy causes capillary closure resulting in retinal ischemia, which in turn provides a potent stimulus for angiogenic stimulation, in particular, via vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)⁹. This leads to the ingrowth of new vessels from the retina and the optic nerve. Bleeding and leakage with subsequent scarring, as well as retinal detachment because of vitrioretinal traction, often leads to loss of vision, as does the development of macular edema¹⁰.

Anti-angiogenic therapies

Recognizing that the key pathogenic factor in AMD and pDR is aberrant neovascularization, strategies to curb neovascularization by administration of anti-angiogenic agents represent a compelling approach, and one that is currently being evaluated in numerous clinical trials. Many endogenous, as well as synthetic factors, have been shown to possess potent anti-angiogenic activity, including endostatin, angiostatin and soluble VEGF-receptor inhibitors^{11–13}. Several of these are currently in clinical trials, mostly for cancer¹⁴, although a few have also been tested for ocular neovascular disorders^{15–16}.

However, ocular application of antiangiogenics might not be straightforward, as illustrated by the first few randomized trials, which evaluated the activity of anti-angiogenic agents in the treatment of AMD or pDR and failed to demonstrate any benefit^{15–16}. Indeed, there was some indication that interferon (IFN) $-\alpha$ 2a was harmful in AMD compared with placebo¹⁵.

AMD and pDR are chronic diseases and, consequently, inhibition of the neovascular stimuli for prolonged periods of time is likely to be required. Oral administration of angiogenesis inhibitors¹⁵⁻¹⁶ carry with them the risk of systemic toxicity and chronic oral administration could potentially interfere with normal physiological functions that require angiogenesis, such as wound healing, collateral formation and menstruation. The two anti-angiogenic drugs tested in randomized clinical trials for AMD, the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) inhibitor Prinomastat™ and IFN-α2a, both demonstrated non-trivial systemic toxicities¹⁵⁻¹⁶. Furthermore, because of the blood-retina barrier, it is often difficult with many different therapeutics (including most proteins) to achieve adequate therapeutic levels in the posterior part of the eye¹⁷. One way to circumvent this is by direct intravitreal injection, which was first introduced therapeutically with ganciclovir for the treatment of HIV-induced cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection¹⁸. Various anti-angiogenic proteins have been administered this way, including EYE001, which is an injectible anti-VEGF aptamer (an oligonucleotide)19 and humanized anti-VEGF antibodies²⁰. Both approaches have shown substantial activity in various models of ocular neovascularization and are now in clinical trials. However, the clinical applicability of both these, and other proteins, are likely to be impaired by their relatively short half-life, which could require frequently repeated intra-vitreous injections to maintain elevated levels of inhibitor in the posterior part of the eye.

Gene transfer

Gene transfer could represent a potential solution for delivery of therapeutic proteins to the posterior part of the eye. This technology involves the introduction of normal or modified genes into the somatic cells of a target organ to modify cell functions as a means of treating or preventing pathological processes. In vivo gene transfer relies on a vector to introduce a therapeutic gene into the cell. Delivery systems currently in use include plasmids, adenoand retroviruses as well as adeno-associated viruses21. For ocular use, adenovirus (Ad)22, adeno-associated virus (AAV)23 and lentivirus (HIV)24 have shown promise in preclinical models. So far, only one clinical trial using gene therapy is underway in ophthalmology (Baylor University Medical Centre, Houston, TX, USA). This involves administration of an adenoviral vector containing the herpex simplex virus (HSV) thymidine kinase gene followed by treatment with the prodrug ganciclovir for the treatment of retinoblastoma (protocol on file with the Recombinant DNA Advisory Committee (RAC) to the NIH Director). However, this is likely to change as the field of ocular gene therapy continues to be refined with the development of increasingly sophisticated vector systems. Studies like the one recently published by Acland et al.25, which demonstrates restoration of vision in a dog model of Leber's Congenital Amaurosis (LCA) is likely to further stimulate the field.

The eye has several inherent properties that make gene transfer potentially appealing. First, the relative immuneprivileged status of the eye is likely to limit the extent of the host immune response to the vector, which in other tissues has been a limiting factor for the clinical applicability of gene transfer²⁶⁻²⁷. Second, the eye is a relatively secluded organ, which makes local treatment approaches like gene transfer appealing, because the treatment is unlikely to spread outside the eye thereby minimizing non-ocular toxicity. Third, the symmetry and bilaterality of the eyes provide a superb internal control for clinical trials in which one eye is treated and the contra-lateral eye used as a control. Finally, the eye is easily inspectable and the effects of various treatment modalities as well as their toxicity can be readily monitored.

Anti-angiogenic gene therapy

The limitations of using proteins for anti-angiogenic therapy has led to an increased interest in anti-angiogenic gene therapy. Preclinical proof-of-principle studies, using either recombinant adenovectors to carry the genes encoding pigment epithelium-derived factor (PEDF)28 and endostatin²⁹, or recombinant adeno-associated viruses carrying the transgene encoding for angiostatin³⁰, have recently been published and demonstrated that significant inhibition of neovascularization in various models indicative of AMD or DR is feasible. Recently, Mori et al. tested intravenous administration of an adenoviral construct carrying the murine endostatin gene driven by a CMV promoter in a murine model of choroidal neovascularization (CNV) and found almost complete inhibition of CNV (Ref. 29). The authors also found a strong inverse correlation between endostatin serum levels and area of CNV (Ref. 29). Similarly, subcutaneous injection of an AAV virus carrying a truncated angiostatin gene resulted in significant inhibition of retinal neovascularization in a model of retinal neovascularization³⁰. These studies provide compelling preclinical evidence that systemic administration of potent endogenous angiogenesis inhibitors, such as endostatin and angiostatin can prevent or reduce retinal and/or CNV.

These encouraging results with endostatin and angiostatin suggest a potential role of anti-angiogenesis in ocular disease.

More recently, there has been great interest and excitement in a promising potent, natural inhibitor of angiogenesis, PEDF (Ref. 31), and the remainder of this review will focus on this molecule.

PEDF

PEDF was first described in 1989 by Tombran-Tink in conditioned medium from cultured, fetal retinal-pigmentepithelial (RPE) cells as a potent neurotrophic factor32. In a test system using cultured, primitive retinoblastoma cells, extensive neuronal-like processes were induced after exposure to the RPE-conditioned medium. Subsequently, PEDF has now been purified³³ and cloned both from humans³⁴ and mice³⁵. The gene is expressed as early as 17 weeks in human fetal RPE cells, suggesting that PEDF is intimately involved in early neuronal development³⁶. The neurotrophic activity of PEDF was further substantiated by Tanawaki et al.37, who demonstrated that PEDF had a marked effect on cerebellar granule-cell survival in primary cell cultures. These effects of PEDF are not limited to brain neurons, as demonstrated by Araki et al.38 who discovered a similar effect on developing spinal neurons.

PEDF attracted even more attention when Dawson et al. demonstrated that, in addition to the previously described neurotrophic activity, PEDF also demonstrated potent antiangiogenic effects in a rat corneal assay³⁹. In vitro, PEDF inhibited endothelial-cell migration in a dose-dependent manner with a median effective dose of 0.4 nm, placing PEDF among the most potent natural inhibitors of angiogenesis. Furthermore, the same paper demonstrated that PEDF inhibited endothelial cell migration towards a variety of different angiogenic factors, including VEGF, fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and interleukin-8 (IL-8)39. The amount of inhibitory PEDF produced by retinal cells was positively correlated with oxygen concentrations, suggesting that its loss plays a permissive role in ischemia-driven retinal neovascularization39.

The notion that PEDF plays an important role in regulating vascularity in the posterior part of the eye was further supported by the findings of Gao et al.40, who demonstrated a correlation between changes in VEGF:PEDF ratio and the degree of retinal neovascularization in a rat model of retinal neovascularization. In this model, retinas with neovascularization showed a fivefold increase in retinal VEGF levels and a twofold decrease in retinal PEDF levels compared with age-matched control rats with normal eyes, which resulted in a substantial increase in VEGF:PEDF ratio40.

The proposed important physiological role that PEDF has in inhibiting ocular neovascularization is further supported by Ogata et al.41, who measured PEDF levels in vitreous fluid in patients who underwent vitrectomy for the treatment of diabetic retinopathy, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and idiopathic macular hole⁴¹. They also reported a significant decrease in PEDF levels in patients with active pDR compared with inactive pDR, which further suggests that PEDF is a basal inhibitor of ocular neovascularization⁴¹. This could have potentially important therapeutic implications for the treatment of ocular neovascular disorders.

The hypothesis that pharmacologically induced elevations in ocular PEDF levels could reduce or prevent ocular neovascularization was tested by Stellmach et al.42. Recombinant PEDF was intra-peritoneally administered daily from days 12 to 16 in a retinopathy-of-prematurity (ROP) model, in which neonatal mice were exposed to hyperoxide conditions for five days (from day 7 to day 12) while their retinal vasculature is developing. When the mice are returned to the lower oxygen level of air on day 12, the retina becomes ischemic and, in response, excessive neovascularization occurs. By day 17, vessels have proliferated into the retina and the vitreous, creating a retinopathy similar to that seen in retinopathy of prematurity and sharing many of the features of diabetic retinopathy⁴². PEDF administration resulted in substantial dose-dependent inhibition of neovascularization, with doses as low as 2.2 mg kg-1 being effective in preventing neovascularization. However, because the half-life of PEDF is short, strategies to provide sustained levels of PEDF over longer periods of time are needed to treat chronic diseases like AMD and/or pDR. Furthermore, systemic administration of a potent angiogenesis inhibitor like PEDF might theoretically interfere with normal repair functions in the body that are dependent on angiogenesis, thereby increasing the risk of systemic toxicity; a strategy for local delivery would, therefore, be important. A gene therapy approach could appease these concerns and might represent the most attractive way of ensuring high, sustained levels of PEDF intra-ocularly.

Gene therapy with PEDF (AdPEDF)

AdGVPEDF.11 (AdPEDF) is a second-generation E1, E4- and partial E3-deleted replication deficient adenovirus vector, designed to deliver the human PEDF gene, controlled by a CMV promoter. Expression of PEDF was measured by RT-PCR for PEDF mRNA and immunohistochemical staining for PEDF protein throughout the retina. Intravitreous injection of AdPEDF resulted in increased expression of PEDF mRNA in the eye compared with eyes injected with AdNull (the vector without the transgene) or uninjected controls, where no PEDF mRNA was present⁴³. PEDF staining was present not only in the retina but in other parts of the eye, including the iris, the lens and the corneal epithelium^{43–44}. After subretinal administration of AdPEDF, much stronger staining for PEDF was detected in the RPE cells compared with other ocular structures^{43–44}. Gene expression was shown to be sustained for at least one month, using a luciferase marker gene⁴⁵.

AdPEDF has been tested in three different models of ocular neovascularization⁴³⁻⁴⁴. In mice with laser-induced rupture of Bruch's membrane causing CNV, a model indicative of the pathology in AMD, a single injection of AdPEDF administered intravitreously $[1 \times 10^9]$ particle units (pu)] or subretinally (1 × 108 pu) resulted in significant inhibition of CNV (Ref. 43) compared with controls⁴³. In a transgenic model, rhodopsin-VEGF transgenic mice have increased expression of VEGF in photoreceptors beginning at day seven, and by day 21 have extensive subretinal neovascularization, sharing several characteristics with the pathology in pDR (Ref. 46). A single intravitreal injection of AdPEDF (1×10^9 pu) resulted in almost complete inhibition of subretinal neovascularization as compared to AdNull and the control group⁴⁴. The third commonly used and widely accepted model for retinal neovascularization is the ROP model described previously. The effect of AdPEDF in this model was similar to the other two models, that is, highly significant inhibition of neovascularization. The percentage inhibition of neovascularization, compared with untreated controls was typically 45-90%⁴⁴.

Conclusion

Ocular neovascularization is a key factor of the most common causes of blindness in humans in the developed world: age-related macular degeneration and proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Prevention of ocular neovascularization by deployment of anti-angiogenic drugs represents a rational and appealing therapeutic approach. However, because these are chronic diseases characterized by ongoing new vessel formation, long-term inhibition of the angiogenic stimuli is likely to be needed. However, associated with the long-term suppression of angiogenesis is the risk of systemic side effects, considering that angiogenesis is needed for several physiological functions including wound healing, collateral formation and menstruation. A local treatment modality, resulting in high levels of an angiogenesis inhibitor in the eye (or preferably in the back of the eye) with no systemic exposure would be ideal. However, delivery is complicated because most known anti-angiogenic proteins have short half-lives. A gene therapy approach, using a replication-deficient viral vector to carry the gene encoding the anti-angiogenic substance represents one potential solution to this problem. AdPEDF represents such an approach. Preclinical studies in models of retinal neovascularization, as well as CNV, have demonstrated that AdPEDF, administered by intravitreal or subretinal injection is highly effective in preventing neovascularization. Studies in larger animals are under way to explore the safety and toxicology of this approach. Assuming that toxicology studies identify a well-tolerated dose range, AdPEDF should be tested in clinical trials in patients with ocular neovascular disorders.

References

- 1 Varmus, H. (1997) Age-related macular degeneration. Status of research. National Eye Institute Annual Report 1997, Department of Health and Human Services
- 2 Seddon, J.M. (2001) Epidemiology of age-related macular degeneration. In *Retina* (Vol. 2, 3rd edn) (Ryan, S.J., ed.), pp. 1039–1050, Mosby
- 3 Wood, A.J. (1999) Treatment of diabetic retinopathy. New Engl. J. Med. 341, 667–678
- 4 Caird, F.I. *et al.* (1968) Diabetic retinopathy: a further study of prognosis for vision. *Diabetes* 17, 121–123
- 5 Ferris, F.L., III (1993) How effective are treatments for diabetic retinopathy? J. Am. Med. Assoc. 269, 1290–1291
- 6 Bressler, N.M. et al. (2001) Neovascular (exudative) age-related macular degeneration. In *Retina* (Vol. 2, 3rd edn) (Ryan, S.J. ed.) pp. 1100–1136, Mosby
- 7 Bressler, N.M. et al. (1988) Age-related macular degeneration. Surv. Ophthalmol. 32, 375–413
- 8 Ferris, F.L., III *et al.* (1984) Age-related macular degeneration and blindness due to neovascular maculopathy. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 102, 1640–1642
- 9 Miller, J.M. (1997) Vascular endothelial growth factor and ocular neovascularization. Am. J. Physiol. 151, 13–23
- 10 Ferris, F.L., III et al. (1999) Treatment of diabetic retinopathy. New Engl. J. Med. 341, 667–678
- 11 O'Reilly, M.S. *et al.* (1997) Endostatin: an endogenous inhibitor of angiogenesis and tumor growth. *Cell* 88, 1–20
- 12 O'Reilly, M.S. et al. (1994) Angiostatin: a novel angiogenesis inhibitor that mediates the suppression of metastases by a Lewis Lung carcinoma. *Cell* 79, 315–328
- 13 Kendall, R.L. and Thomas, K.A. (1993) Inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor activity by an endogenously endcoded soluble receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 90, 10705–10709
- 14 Zetter, B.R. (1998) Anti-angiogenesis and tumor metastasis. Annu. Rev. Med. 49, 407–424
- 15 Pharmacological Therapy for Macular Degeneration Study Group (1997) Interferon α -2a is ineffective for patients with CNV secondary to age-related macular degeneration: results of a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 115, 865–882
- 16 Blodi, B.A. et al. (2000) The angiogenesis inhibitor, Prinomastat (AG3340) in the treatment of age-related macular degeneration: study design and baseline characteristics. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci., 41, S641
- 17 Magone, M.T. and Whitcup, S.M. (1999) Mechanisms of intraocular inflammation. In *Immune Response and The Eye* (Vol. 73), (Steilein, J.W., ed.) pp. 90–119, Karger
- 18 Heineman, M.H. (1989) Long-term intravitreal ganciclovir therapy for cytomegalovirus retinopathy. Arch. Ophthalmol. 107, 984–994
- 19 Guyer, D.R. et al. (2001) Anti-VEGF therapy in patients with exudative age-related macular degeneration. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 42, S522
- 20 Aiello, P.L. et al. (1995) Suppression of retinal neovascularization in vivo by inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) using soluble VEGF-receptor chimeric proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 92, 10457–10461

- 21 Murata, T. et al. (1997) Ocular gene therapy: experimental studies and clinical possibilities. Ophthalmic Res. 29, 242–251
- 22 Bennett, J. et al. (1994) Adenovirus vector mediated in vivo gene transfer into adult murine retina. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 35, 2535–2542
- 23 Grant, C. et al. (1997) Evaluation of recombinant adeno-associated virus as gene transfer vector for the retina. Curr. Eye Res. 16, 949–956
- 24 Miyoshi, H. et al. (1997) Stable and efficient gene transfer into the retina using an HIV-based lentiviral vector. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 94, 10319–10323
- 25 Acland, G.M. et al. (2001) Gene therapy restores vision in a canine model of childhood blindness. Nat. Genet. 28, 92–95
- 26 Bennett, J. and Maguire, A.M. (2000) Gene therapy for ocular disease. Mol. Ther. 1, 501–505
- 27 Sterilein, J. (1995) Enhanced perspective: unraveling immune privilege. Science 270, 1158
- 28 Mori, K. et al. (2001) Pigment epithelium-derived factor inhibits retinal and choroidal neovascularization. J. Cell. Physiol. 188, 253–263
- 29 Mori, K. et al. (2001) Inhibition of choroidal neovascularization by intravenous injection of adenoviral vectors expression secretable endostatin. Am. J. Pathol. 159, 313–320
- 30 Meneses, P.I. et al. (2001) Recombinant angiostatin prevents retinal neovascularization in a murine proliferative retinopathy model. Gene Ther. 8, 646–648
- 31 Chader, G. (2001) Commentary. PEDF: raising both hopes and questions in controlling angiogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 2122–2124
- 32 Tombran-Tink, J. and Johnson, L. (1989) Neuronal differentiation of retinoblastoma cells induced by medium conditioned by human RPE cells. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 30, 1700–1709
- 33 Tombran-Tink, J. et al. (1991) PEDF: A pigment epithelium-derived factor with potent neuronal differentiative activity. Exp. Eye Res. 53, 411–414

- 34 Steele, F. et al. (1992) Pigment epithelium-derived factor: neurotrophic activity and identification as a member of the serine-protease inhibitor gene-family. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90, 1526–1530
- 35 Singh, V. *et al.* (1998) Structural and comparative analysis of the mouse gene for pigment epithelium-derived factor (PEDF). *Mol. Vis.* 4, 7–12
- 36 Tombran-Tink, J. et al. (1995) Expression, secretion, and age-related downregulation of pigment epithelium-derived factor, a serpin with neurothropic activity. J. Neurosci. 15, 4992–5003
- 37 Taniwaki, T. et al. (1997) Pigment epithelium-derived factor protects cultured cerebellar granule cells against glutamate-induced neurotoxicity. J. Neurochem. 68, 26–32
- 38 Araki, T. et al. (1998) Pigment epithelium-derived factor (PEDF) differentially protects immature but not mature cerebellar granule cells against apoptotic cell death. J. Neurosci. Res. 53, 7–15
- **39** Dawson, D.W. *et al.* (1999) Pigment epithelium-derived factor: a potent inhibitor of angiogenesis. *Science* 285, 245–248
- 40 Gao, G. et al. (2001) Unbalanced expression of VEGF and PEDF in ischemia-induced retinal neovascularization. FEBS Lett. 489, 270–276
- 41 Ogata, N. et al.(2001) Pigment epithelium-derived factor in the vitreous is low in diabetic retinopathy and high in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 132, 378–382
- 42 Stellmach, V. et al. (2001) Prevention of ischemia-induced retinopathy by the natural ocular anti-angiogenic agent pigment epitheliumderived factor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98, 2593–2597
- 43 Duh, E.J. et al. (2001) In vivo expression of pigment epithelium-derived factor by adenovirus-mediated gene therapy. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 42, S506
- 44 Mori, K. et al. (2001) Pigment epithelium-derived factor inhibits retinal and choroidal neovascularization. J. Cell. Physiol. 188, 253–263
- 45 Wei, L.L. et al. (2001) Adenovirus gene delivery for the treatment of ocular diseases. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 42, S343
- 46 Okamoto, N. et al. (1997) Transgenic mice with increased expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in the retina. Am. J. Pathol. 151, 281–291

BIOPHARMACEUTICAL NEWSLETTER

A new service from BioMedNet, Current Opinion, Trends and Drug Discovery Today

Now available, direct to your e-mail inbox: a FREE e-mail newsletter highlighting the latest developments in this rapidly moving field of research.

A team of editors from *Current Opinion*, *Trends* and *Drug Discovery Today* will compile newsletters covering all aspects of biopharmaceuticals, from monoclonal antibodies and recombinant proteins to regulatory affairs and clinical trials.

Each newsletter features articles from the BioMedNet newsdesk, as well as highlights from the review content of *Current Opinion*, *Trends* and *Drug Discovery Today*.

Newsletters are sent out six times a year. To **SIGN UP** for newsletters and other alerts via e-mail, visit **http://news.bmn.com/alerts**